JBI Systematic Review Title Registration Form

Title: BREAKING BAD NEWS PROTOCOLS IN HEALTHCARE: A SCOPING REVIEW PROTOCOL

Centre: Portugal Centre for Evidence Based Practice: a JBI Centre of Excellence, Health Sciences Researc

Primary Reviewer

Name: Ana Filipa dos Reis Marques Cardoso **Email:** fcardoso@esenfc.pt

Question:
- What communication protocols, whether originals or adapted versions, have been implemented to approach bad news with adult patients and/or their families?
- What are the stages, or steps, or points, or elements of each communication protocol?

PICO

Population: This review will consider sources that include adults and/or their family members, such as members of their nuclear family or other significant ones, (caregivers and/or friends). Communication protocols to break bad news to children will be excluded, but sources that mentioned protocols to break bad news to their adult parents will be included. Studies that focus on healthcare students will be excluded.

Intervention: Intervention (quantitative - effectiveness)

This review will consider sources that focus on communication protocols, whether originals or adapted versions, which has been implement for breaking bad news. These protocols may be used by face – to-face or phone or video call, but not limited to these. For the scope of this scoping review, protocols are going to considered structured communication strategies that may be designated as methods, communication

Comparator: Comparator (quantitative - effectiveness)

Please enter a clear and precise description
Qualitative reviews and quantitative reviews of association do not state a comparator.
Input comparators for comprehensive reviews.

Outcome: Outcome & Context (comprehensive)

Concept (ScR) This review will consider sources that focus on communication protocols, whether originals or adapted versions, which has been implement for breaking bad news. These protocols may be used by face–to-face or phone or video call, but not limited to these. For the scope of this scoping review, protocols are going to considered structured communication strategies that may be designated as methods, communication protocols, structured models, guides, tools, toolkits, notification tools or frameworks, including, but not limited to SPIKES, ABCDE, CONNECT, CONSOLE, BREAKS Protocol, PEWTER, or P-A-C-I-E-N-T-E. For the scope of this review bad news are going to consider “any formation likely to alter drastically a patient's view of his or her future” as defined by Buckman weather described as negative, serious illness conversations, difficult, complex, significant, or bad news. Studies that approach communication protocols in healthcare professionals training or teaching will be excluded.

CONTEXT (ScR): This review will consider studies that were developed in any healthcare context

Please **download**, **fill in**, **save** and **email** this form to: jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au